

Douglas Hammon

From: Wes Butch [wbutch@dlz.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2005 9:17 AM
To: Douglas Hammon
Subject: Re: Contract Mod information
Attachments: Check for Approval letter.xls; DLZ Contract Mod Letter III - approval.doc

Doug -

The letter looks good. I added a few suggested changes using the "track changes" feature in Word and attached the revised version. The main thing I was trying to do is to clearly identify the total additional fee. Also attached is a brief spreadsheet I used to check the math. We can use this to track each task as we go forward.

Give me a call with any questions.

Wes

----- Original Message -----

From: Douglas Hammon
To: Wes Butch
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 1:32 PM
Subject: RE: Contract Mod information

Wes - check this over (and look closely at my math). If you agree, I will get it out this week.

Thanks,

Doug

From: Wes Butch [mailto:wbutch@dlz.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 4:14 PM
To: Douglas Hammon
Cc: Jason Whitten
Subject: Contract Mod information

Doug -

Hope all is well. I have sorted through the accounting records to provide the information for time spent to date on the items in our most recent request for additional funds. I will address these by the same item numbers that were used in our previous correspondence. A few items of background for you to keep in mind:

- For all of the items listed below, we have assumed an average hourly rate of \$100 for DLZ and \$110 for Wyle.
- As per our discussions, we are providing the approximate hours/cost for each of the items where out-of-scope work has already been undertaken at the request of OSU. This encompasses extra work which has been undertaken since OSU's approval of DLZ's most recent prior contract modification.
- Future invoices will include a description of work undertaken and the approximate hours broken out by the same tasks listed below.
- Based on the information included in this email, we are assuming that you can finalize your approval

4/13/2005

letter and authorize additional funds for the EA.

- As we discussed, our accounting system is not able track our previous billings in this format since the project was originally set up as a lump sum job. Therefore, these numbers are my best estimate, with the actual numbers likely varying by a few hours either way.

- 1) Historical Analysis - To date, DLZ has expended approximately 40 hours/\$4,000. Wyle has expended 16 hours/\$1,760.
- 2) Project Coordination - No expenditures yet.
- 3) Public Response - DLZ has expended 30 hours/\$3,000 to date.
- 4) Wyle Labs - To date, Wyle has expended 112 hours/\$12,320.
- 5) FAA Review - No expenditures yet.
- 6) FAA Meeting - No expenditures yet.
- 7) Advisory Committee Meeting - No expenditures yet.
- 8) Single Event Noise Analysis - No expenditures yet.
- 9) Profile Analysis - DLZ has expended 20 hours/\$2,000 to date. Wyle has expended 24 hours/\$2,640.
- 10) Stage 2 Analysis - Wyle has expended 12 hours/\$1,320.
- 11) Noise Modeling - No expenditures yet.
- 12) Review of Worthington Analysis - No expenditures yet.
- 13) EA Revisions - DLZ has expended 50 hours/\$5,000 to date.
- 14) Future Public Input - No expenditures yet.
- 15) Modified Hangar Scenario Run - No expenditures yet.

Based on the above information, DLZ has spent approximately \$14,000 extra on these items, and Wyle has spent approximately \$18,040 extra for a total of \$32,040 to date.

I also wanted to bring up the issue of the Modified Hangar Scenario Run. As we discussed previously, if we go ahead with this now, there are two main risks involved. The first is that the new radar data may indicate that we cannot use the INM default departure profiles. If we have already performed the analysis using the defaults, we could end up re-doing the analysis in order to get accurate contours. The second risk is that FAA may not allow the hangar project to move forward as an independent project until the other stuff is resolved due to the fear that the project is being "segmented". We should consider talking to them about this issue when you deem the time to be right. Now that I've done my duty to inform, please advise as to whether you want us to move ahead on this item or wait.

Last, Bill and I have spoken about your email yesterday regarding the time frame for the profile analysis. He and Clint are looking at this and should have an answer for us by tomorrow.

Please let me know if this information meets your needs. We can provide more information if needed.

Wes