

Bud Baeslack

From: Wes Butch [wbutch@dlz.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2004 9:39 AM
To: Douglas Hammon
Cc: Jason Whitten; Burn, Melissa; Bud Baeslack
Subject: June meeting minutes

Doug -

As per your request, here are the draft minutes from the June Advis. Comm. mtg. I reviewed them, and there is no reference to the 55 and 60 DNL. Zoll is probably remembering the January meeting when he claims that we promised these to them. I looked at the draft minutes from the January meeting. At that meeting, Xaviera and I indicated that these contours could be provided, but in stating this, we were assuming that they were relevant to the MORPC planning effort. Subsequent to that, we all found out that MORPC is only considering the 60 DNL which is being provided to the group in the PowerPoint that will be posed later this week. We should probably explain the situation and let them know that the 55 DNL contours may be useful in the analysis of voluntary mitigation measures later in the study. It is simply not relevant at all to the determination of impacts for the EA. Again, let's keep hammering on the difference between what FAA requires for the EA and defines as significant "impacts" (requiring an EIS) and what the University is willing to consider at a later time in terms of voluntary mitigation. They can also generate the 55 DNL if desired on their own from the INM files we have them many weeks ago.

I also called Melissa and let her know the Dean may call her. We also talked about the white paper Wyle wrote regarding 55 and 60 DNL and the importance of clearly explaining the difference in terms of the EA requirements and voluntary mitigation. We agreed that she will discuss this with Bill Albee and that we will have a conf call among all of the relevant folks about one week before the Advis Comm mtg to make sure that Bill and Clint are knowledgeable about the specific issues for this project.

I agree with your assessment of what Zoll is saying. His story is changing every time he speaks.

Wes